STATE OF SOUTH CAROLIN.	A)		196531	
)		BEFOR		
(Caption of Case)		PUBLIC SERVICE OF SOUTH O		
Happy Rabbit, LP on behalf of Windridge Townhomes		COVER		
v.)			
Alpine Utilities, Inc.))))	DOCKET NUMBER: 2008 -	<u>360 _ S _ </u>	
(Please type or print) Submitted by: Benjamin P. Mustian, Esquire		SC Bar Number: 68269		
		Telephone: 252-3300		
Address: Post Office Box 8416		Fax: 771-2410		
Columbia, SC 29202		Other:		
		Email: bmustian@willough	byhoefer.com	
NOTE: The cover sheet and information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form is required for use by the Public Service Commission of South Carolina for the purpose of docketing and must be filled out completely.				
DOC	CKETING INFORMAT	FION (Check all that apply)		
☐ Emergency Relief demanded in	Re	equest for item to be placed on peditiously	Commission's Agenda	
Other:	— (A)	peditiousiy		
INDUSTRY (Check one)	Y (Check one) NATURE OF ACTION (Check all that apply)			
Electric	Affidavit	Letter	Request	
☐ Electric/Gas	Agreement	Memorandum	Request for Certification	
☐ Electric/Telecommunications	Answer	Motion	Request for Investigation	
Electric/Water	Appellate Review	Objection	Resale Agreement	
Electric/Water/Telecom.	Application	Petition	Resale Amendment	
Electric/Water/Sewer	Brief	Petition for Reconsideration	Reservation Letter	
Gas	Certificate	Petition for Rulemaking	Response	
Railroad	Comments	Petition for Rule to Show Cause	Response to Discovery	
∑ Sewer	Complaint	Petition to Intervene	Return to Petition	
Telecommunications	Consent Order	Petition to Intervene Out of Time	Stipulation	
Transportation	Discovery	Prefiled Testimony	Subpoena	
Water	Exhibit	Promotion	☐ Tariff	
Water/Sewer	Expedited Consideration	Proposed Order	Other:	
Administrative Matter	Interconnection Agreement	Protest		
Other:	Interconnection Amendment	Publisher's Affidavit		
	Late-Filed Exhibit	Report		
	Print Form	Reset Form		

WILLOUGHBY & HOEFER, P.A.

ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS AT LAW
930 RICHLAND STREET
P.O. BOX 8416

COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29202-8416

MITCHELL M. WILLOUGHBY JOHN M.S. HOEFER RANDOLPH R. LOWELL ELIZABETH ZECK* BENJAMIN P. MUSTIAN MICHAEL R. BURCHSTEAD ANDREW J. MACLEOD

AREA CODE 803 TELEPHONE 252-3300 TELECOPIER 256-8062

TRACEY C. GREEN SPECIAL COUNSEL

*ALSO ADMITTED IN TX

December 15, 2008

THOTAPED

SO SUBJECTION

SO SUBJECTI

VIA HAND-DELIVERY

The Honorable Charles L.A. Terreni Chief Clerk/Administrator Public Service Commission of South Carolina 101 Executive Center Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29210

RE: Happy Rabbit, LP on behalf of Windridge Townhomes v. Alpine Utilities, Inc.;

Docket No. 2008-360-S

Dear Mr. Terreni:

This letter is written in response to Happy Rabbit, LP's ("Happy Rabbit") Response to Reply to Return to Motion ("Response") filed with the Public Service Commission of South Carolina ("Commission") on December 9, 2008. Therein, Happy Rabbit suggests that Alpine's request for oral argument on its Motion to Dismiss is unnecessary and "can be incorporated into the hearing on the merits of Happy Rabbit's Complaint when scheduled." Happy Rabbit further opines that a separate proceeding for oral arguments would be "unnecessary, a waste of both party's resources and a waste of judicial economy of this Commission."

Initially, it would appear that Happy Rabbit believes that a denial of Alpine's Motion to Dismiss is a foregone conclusion and that any consideration by the Commission of this matter would be perfunctory – a position with which Alpine clearly disagrees. Alpine respectfully

As was also the case with Happy Rabbit's Reply to Return to Motion previously filed in this Docket, and contrary to Happy Rabbit's assertion in its letter to the Commission dated December 9, 2008, Alpine states that it has not been served with the Response as of the time of this filing. Counsel for Alpine acknowledges that on December 9, 2008, Happy Rabbit provided a copy of its filing to the other parties of record via electronic mail; however, Alpine asserts that the submission of pleadings to parties via electronic mail does not constitute service as contemplated by the Commission's regulations. See Rule 5 of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure. Accordingly, the Commission should determine the pending motion without reference to the Return or the Response as they are not properly before the Commission. However, Alpine submits this reply in the event the Commission is disposed to consider Happy Rabbit's filings concerning this matter.

asserts that Happy Rabbit's concern for wasting the parties' resources and the judicial economy of the Commission in point of fact supports Alpine's request for oral arguments to be scheduled on this matter such that its Motion to Dismiss may be ruled upon promptly. Delaying consideration of the Motion until the "hearing on the merits of Happy Rabbit's Complaint when scheduled" would subject the parties and the Commission to time-consuming discovery, the development of prefiled direct and rebuttal testimony and other prehearing matters, all of which may ultimately be rendered unnecessary should the Commission grant Alpine's Motion to Dismiss. Moreover, the costs incurred by Alpine in this potentially fruitless endeavor would necessarily be passed through to its customers. Therefore, Alpine asserts that the scheduling of oral arguments on the pending motion before such steps are required to be taken would constitute a more economical use of the parties' and the Commission's resources.²

If you have any questions, or if you need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

WILLOUGHBY & HOEFER, P.A.

Benjamin P. Mustian

BPM/cf Enclosures

cc: Nanette S. Edwards, Esquire Richard L. Whitt, Esquire

Alpine would note that Happy Rabbit's concern for the "judicial economy of this Commission" does not appear to be justified in as much as Happy Rabbit continues its refusal to explain how the issues raised in the instant proceeding before this Commission are separate and distinct from those issues it is attempting to raise before the circuit court. See Alpine's Motion to Dismiss and Reply to Return to Motion. As further explained in its Motion to Dismiss, Alpine reasserts that these issues are not separate and distinct. Rather, Alpine believes Happy Rabbit has filed this action purely in an attempt to use the Commission's complaint process as a coercive weapon so as to allow it to withhold payment for sewer services rendered and continuing to be rendered while forestalling Alpine's right to lawfully disconnect the property for nonpayment.

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2008-360-S

Happy Rabbit, LP on behalf of Windridge, Townhomes,))
Complainant) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
v.)
Alpine Utilities, Inc.,)
Defendant.)
	_)

This is to certify that I have caused to be served this day one (1) copy of Respondent's Response to Plaintiff's Response to Reply to Return to Motion of Happy Rabbit and Request for Oral Argument by placing same in the care and custody of the United States Postal Service with first class postage affixed thereto and addressed as follows:

Nanette S. Edwards, Esquire
Office of Regulatory Staff
Post Office Box 11263
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Richard L. Whitt, Esquire

Austin & Rogers, P.A.

Post Office Box 11716

Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Olvik Fancher
Clark Fancher

Columbia, South Carolina This 15th day of December, 2008.